

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/648,301		KOMARECHKA, ROBERT G.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	DANIEL WASHBURN		2628	

All Participants:

(1) DANIEL WASHBURN.

(2) J. Gordon Thomson.

Date of Interview: 13 August 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

7,16-20

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Dan Washburn/
Examiner, Art Unit 2628

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 2:30 pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Washburn recommended amending the claims and the specification in order to put the application in better form for potential allowance. Attorney Thomson agreed to the changes and Examiner Washburn stated that he would implement the changes as an Examiner's Amendment if the case is found to be allowable.